

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/681,475	(04/13/2001	Paul L. Mullen	GEMS8081.070 7317	
27061	7590	02/02/2006		EXAMINER	
		TENT SOLUTION	LANEAU, RONALD		
	14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD MEQUON, WI 53097			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
(,					

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			
	Application No.		
Office Action Summary	09/681,475	MULLEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald Laneau	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	aress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No.	ovember 2005		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. '		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Ci	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P)-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 11/21/05 has been entered. Claims 1-34 remain pending.

Response to Amendment

2. The affidavit filed on 11/21/05 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Wetzer reference (US 6,738,748).

The evidence submitted (Exhibit A) is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the Wetzer reference. Exhibit A does not have an actual date for the Examiner to verify that this document was actually reduced to practice before April 3, 2001 which is the filing date of the Wetzer reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Worley (US 6,651,190 B1) in view of Wetzer (US 6,378,748 B2).

Worley discloses a system and method for remotely managing communication of electronic data between a diagnostic service center and a plurality of machines generally remote relative to each other including the steps of remotely collecting condition data representative of a

Application/Control Number: 09/681,475

Art Unit: 3627

device status (see abstract); storing the condition data on a database (database server) at a centralized facility; reviewing the condition data (see col. 10, lines 4-8); and displaying it on a graphical user interface 406 (col. 3, lines 16-26), Worley further teaches that the statistic log may be configured so that the graphical user interface allows for user-friendly manipulation of data and for example generation of reports may be implemented in graphical and/or tabular format with electronic editing, copying, cutting and pasting options (col. 14, lines 4-9), a system that may be configured to generate periodic reports, e.g., weekly, monthly, etc based on the log of diagnostic statistics and the report may be configured to be distributed through the Internet or an intranet via a predetermined Web server (col. 14, lines 26-33), a system that is capable of being programmed to acquire the condition data and the reminder data via a LAN, a WAN, a telephone system, a cable communication system, and a wireless system as claimed (cols. 5-6, lines 19-23), the system of Worley would allow operators to monitor downloads in process and/or in queue and identify the type of download as claimed. Furthermore, Worley discloses notifying service technician that service is required on some components (col. 9, lines 32-36).

Worley does not teach that the GUI displays the time of the next scheduled maintenance but Wetzer teaches the step of storing data processing of components on components of an equipment, said data processing system associates at least one predictive maintenance factor (for a component) with the corresponding component data, a scheduler schedules maintenance for a maintenance time period for at least one of the components based on the first database, the second database, the associated predictive maintenance factor, and an elapsed time with respect to an installation date of at least one component, said predictive maintenance factor may be defined by one or more of the following: a longevity estimate, a probability of failure, a financial

Application/Control Number: 09/681,475

Art Unit: 3627

estimate on maintenance of a component (see abs), updating the maintenance file record to reflect the diagnosis, the schedule for service, etc (col. 1, lines 31-43). Furthermore, the system of Wetzer is well capable of tailoring the display for a particular user as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Wetzer into the system of Worley because it would provide ways to track diagnostic data of devices to ensure they are in proper working order.

None of the references teaches marketing data, customer-entered data, and messages are consolidated. However, these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and do not alter how the information is consolidated. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983): In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ 2d 1031 (Fed Cir 1994). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made to consolidate marketing data, customerentered data, and messages because the type of information being consolidated does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

1/24/05

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald Laneau

Ronald Snear

Examiner Art Unit 3627